

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,491 04/17/2001		04/17/2001	Kinya Ozawa	109137	109137 5417	
25944	7590	08/24/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & F P.O. BOX 1		SE, PLC	DUONG, THOI V			
ALEXAND		22320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2871		

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/835,491	OZAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thoi V. Duong	2871				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	<u>une 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 08, 2005 has been entered.

Accordingly, claims 1 and 10 were amended, claim 3 was cancelled, and new claim 11 was added. Currently, claims 1, 2 and 4-11 are pending in this application.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 4-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5, pixel areas should be defined by data lines and scanning lines instead of only data lines. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,491 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not disclose a second light shielding film disposed between the switching element and the liquid crystal at the region corresponding to the switching element but not at the region corresponding to between adjacent pixel areas. According to paragraph 48 of the specification, a data line 6a is formed of a shading material and disposed between the switching element TFT 30 and the liquid crystal at the region corresponding to the switching element TFT 30 as shown in Fig. 2; however, the data line 6a is also disposed at the region corresponding to between pixel areas as shown in Fig. 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadota et al. (Kadota, USPN 5,818,550) in view of Kubota et al. (Kubota, USPN 5,808,595), Bos et al. (Bos, USPN 6,141,074), Numano et al. (Numano, USPN 6,313,898 B1), and Park (USPN 6,160,535).

As shown in Fig. 1, Kadota discloses a liquid crystal device, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/835,491

Art Unit: 2871

first and second substrates O and 12, the first substrate O having a surface proximate the second substrate 12, the second substrate 12 being a surface proximate the first substrate O:

an alignment film disposed at the surface of the first substrates O (col. 4, lines 37-40),

liquid crystal 13 disposed between the first and second substrates;

a plurality of scanning lines 3;

a plurality of data lines (col. 3, lines 43-60);

pixel areas defined by the scanning lines and the data lines;

a switching element TFT provided in each pixel area;

a (second) light shielding film 8 disposed between the switching element TFT and the liquid crystal at the region corresponding to the switching element but not at the region corresponding to between adjacent pixel areas; and

a pixel electrode 1 provided in each pixel area.

Kadota discloses a LCD device that is basically the same as that recited in claim 1 except for a first light shielding film disposed between the first substrate and the switching element at a region corresponding to the switching element but not at a region corresponding to between adjacent pixel areas, a pretilt angle due to alignment films being 20 degrees to 30 degrees, a relationship between a thickness of the liquid crystal and a space between the pixel electrodes, and a driving method for the adjacent pixels.

At first, as shown in Fig. 1(b), Kubota discloses a liquid crystal device comprising a light shielding film 14 disposed between a first substrate 11 and a switching element

Application/Control Number: 09/835,491

Art Unit: 2871

at a region corresponding to the switching element but not at a region corresponding to between adjacent pixel areas (col. 12, lines 39-46).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the LCD of Kadota with the teaching of Kubota by forming a first light shielding film between the first substrate and the switching element at a region corresponding to the switching element but not at a region corresponding to between adjacent pixel areas so as to suppress an increase in leakage current of the transistors and deterioration in the circuit characteristics caused by external light (col. 12, lines 41-45).

Further, Bos discloses a pixel area 10 of an active matrix liquid crystal display (LCD) device (col. 1, lines 15-18), comprising a pretilt angle due to the alignment film being 0.5° to 30° for liquid crystal having positive dielectric anisotropy (col. 6, lines 33-37),

wherein, re claim 2, the alignment film includes one of silicon oxide and silicon nitride (col. 8, lines 14-26).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the LCD of Kadota with the teaching of Bos by having a pretilt angle due to the alignment film being 20° to 30° so as to prevent disclinations or defect lines due to reverse twist (col. 5, lines 18-22).

Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 23 Prior Art, Numano discloses that if a thickness of the liquid crystal disposed the first and second substrates is represented as d, and a space defined between the pixel electrodes is represented as L, a ratio d/L is at least 1;

for example, when the thickness d of the liquid crystal is 5 micrometer, the space L between the pixel electrodes is 2 through 5 micrometer (col. 2, lines 8-17). Numano also discloses that the alignment film exists on both first and second substrates (col. 2, lines 4-5). Accordingly, the same alignment film is formed in space between body portions of pixel electrodes 12.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the LCD device of Kadota with the teaching of Numano by forming the two substrates and the pixel electrodes such that a ratio of a gap between the substrates and a spacing between the pixel electrodes is at least 1, wherein the spacing between the pixel electrodes is 2 or 3 micrometer for preventing the disclination caused by the lateral direction electric field (col. 1, lines 36-52).

Finally, Park discloses a conventional driving technique involving applying voltages having different polarities to pixels that are adjacent to each other by driving alternating pixel elements with negative and positive voltages as shown in Figs. 1B-1C to prevent the display from reducing sensitivity and brightness (col. 1, lines 51-64).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the liquid crystal device of Kadota with the teaching of Park by applying voltages having different polarities to adjacent pixels so as to improve display characteristics (col. 2, lines 10-12).

8. Claims 4, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadota et al. (Kadota, USPN 5,818,550) in view of Kubota et al. (Kubota, USPN

Application/Control Number: 09/835,491

Art Unit: 2871

5,808,595), Bos et al. (Bos, USPN 6,141,074), Numano et al. (Numano, USPN 6,313,898 B1), and Park (USPN 6,160,535) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Ichikawa et al. (Ichikawa, USPN 6,339,459 B1).

The LCD device of Kadota as modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park above includes all that is recited in claim 4, 5 and 8 except for a projection type display apparatus and an electronic apparatus employing such LCD device.

Re claim 5, as shown in Figs. 1A-1C, Ichikawa discloses a projection type display apparatus comprising a light modulating device that modulates light emitted from the light source, the light modulating device including the liquid crystal device 1302.

Re claim 4, Fig. 4 shows a LCD device comprising pixel electrodes 1326 formed of Al (light-reflecting metal electrode) and a liquid crystal layer 1325 (col. 8, lines 25-30).

Re claim 8, Ichikawa also discloses in prior art that the LCD device will be used not only for the personal computers, but also for workstations and televisions for home use (col. 1, lines 11-23).

Accordingly, by having the LCD device of Kadota modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ this device in the projection type display apparatus of Ichikawa et al. so as to obtain a full-color projection image colormixed in each pixel without the mosaic pattern (col. 3, lines 13-17).

9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadota et al. (Kadota, USPN 5,818,550) in view of Kubota et al. (Kubota, USPN 5,808,595), Bos et al. (Bos, USPN 6,141,074), Numano et al. (Numano, USPN 6,313,898 B1), and Park

(USPN 6,160,535) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Miyatake et al. (Miyatake, USPN 5,092,664).

The LCD device of Kadota as modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park above includes all that is recited in claim 6 except for a projection type display apparatus employing such LCD device.

As shown in Fig. 1, Miyatake discloses a projection type display apparatus, comprising:

a light source 15;

a light modulating device that modulates light emitted from the light source, the light modulating device including a liquid crystal device 17; and

a projection lens 18 that projects the light modulated by the light modulating device.

Fig. 2 shows a sectional view of the liquid crystal device 17 wherein alignment films 31, 32 are rubbed in order to align the molecules axes of the liquid crystal molecules at a pretilt angle.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the LCD device of Kadota as modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park in the projection type display apparatus of Miyatake so as to obtain a display with high picture quality by solving the problem of the brightness gradient (col. 2, lines 33-36).

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadota et al. (Kadota, USPN 5,818,550) in view of Kubota et al. (Kubota, USPN 5,808,595), Bos

et al. (Bos, USPN 6,141,074), Numano et al. (Numano, USPN 6,313,898 B1), and Park (USPN 6,160,535) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Takahara et al. (Takahara, USPN 6,218,679 B1).

The LCD device of Kadota as modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park above includes all that is recited in claim 7 except for a projection type display apparatus employing such LCD device.

As shown in Fig. 24, Takahara et al. discloses a projection type display apparatus comprising:

a light source 241a;

a plurality of light modulating devices that modulates light emitted from the light source, only the light modulating device that modulates light in a blue display portion including a liquid crystal device 243a, wherein, as shown in Fig. 11, the liquid crystal device comprises alignment films 111a and 111b formed of silicon oxide (col. 33, lines 43-50 and col. 43, lines 46-48); and

a projection lens 246a that projects the light modulated by the light modulating device.

Since the LCD of Kadota as modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park also comprises the alignment films formed of silicon oxide, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ this device in the projection type display apparatus of Takahara so as to accomplish a high luminance display of images (col. 7, lines 15-21).

11. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadota et al. (Kadota, USPN 5,818,550) in view of Kubota et al. (Kubota, USPN 5,808,595), Bos et al. (Bos, USPN 6,141,074), Numano et al. (Numano, USPN 6,313,898 B1), and Park (USPN 6,160,535) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Sawada et al. (Sawada, USPN 6,040,890).

Page 10

The liquid crystal device of Kadota as modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park above includes all that is recited in claim 9 except for a liquid crystal having a refractive anisotropy "Delta n" in a range of 0.13 to 0.108 and the thickness d being in a range of between 3.2 to 4.4 microns.

As shown in Fig. 1, Sawada et al. discloses a liquid crystal device comprising a liquid crystal layer having the product of a refractive anisotropy and a thickness of the layer ranging within  $0.3 = Delta \ n \times d = 1.2$ , where  $0.05 = Delta \ n = 0.25$  (col. 5, lines 58-62 and col. 6, lines 48-50). Sawana et al. teaches that the value of d in Delta n x d can be selected as small for resulting in a preferable response time (col. 47, lines 46-51). Accordingly, if Delta n = 0.119, the thickness d can be selected as 4 microns, the product of Delta n and the thickness d will be 0.119 x 4 = 0.476, which satisfies the above requirements.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the liquid crystal device of Kadota with the teaching of Sawada et al. by employing a liquid crystal having a refractive anisotropy "Delta n" in a range of 0.13 to 0.108 and the thickness d being in a range of

between 3.2 to 4.4 microns so as to obtain a good high-speed response, a wide visual range and a high contrast for the display (col. 2, lines 48-52).

Page 11

12. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadota et al. (Kadota, USPN 5,818,550) in view of Kubota et al. (Kubota, USPN 5,808,595), Bos et al. (Bos, USPN 6,141,074), Numano et al. (Numano, USPN 6,313,898 B1), and Park (USPN 6,160,535) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Hattori et al. (Hattori, USPN 6,515,725 B1).

The liquid crystal device of Kadota as modified in view of Kubota, Bos, Numano and Park above includes all that is recited in claim 11 except for a space between the pixel electrodes being approximately 1 micrometer.

As shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), Hattori discloses a liquid crystal device, comprising pixel electrodes 128 being separate from each other by a space W1 (Applicant's L) of approximately 1 micrometer (col. 14, lines 11-13).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the LCD of Kadota with the teaching of Hattori by having adjacent pixel electrodes being separate from each other by a space of approximately 1 micrometer so as to smoothly proceed the transition between the pixel electrodes, expanding across the space between the pixels (col. 14, lines 9-14).

13. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bos et al. (Bos, USPN 6,141,074) in view of Hattori et al. (Hattori, USPN 6,515,725 B1), Park (USPN 6,160,535).

As shown in Fig. 1, Bos discloses a pixel area 10 of an active matrix liquid crystal display (LCD) device (col. 1, lines 15-18), comprising:

a first substrate 14 having a surface;

a second substrate 16 having a surface that faces the surface of the first substrate;

liquid crystal 12 disposed between the first and second substrates; and alignment films (not shown) disposed between the liquid crystal layer and the surfaces of the first and second substrates (col. 4, lines 1-65) inducing a pretilt angle in the liquid crystal of 20° to 30° (col. 6, lines 33-37);

Although Bos does not disclose the structure of the LCD device in details, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the active matrix LCD device of Bos comprises a plurality of scanning lines; a plurality of data lines; pixel areas defined by the scanning lines and the data lines; a switching element provided in each pixel area; and a pixel electrode provided in each pixel area.

Bos discloses a LCD device that is basically the same as that recited in claim 1 except a space dimension between the pixel electrodes, and a driving method for the adjacent pixels.

As shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), Hattori discloses a liquid crystal device, comprising:

switching elements 123 provided at positions corresponding to intersections between the scanning lines 126 and the data lines 181;

pixel electrodes 128, each connected to one of the switching elements, adjacent pixel electrodes being separate from each other by a space W1 (Applicant's L) of approximately 1 micrometer (col. 14, lines 11-13).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the LCD of Bos with the teaching of Hattori by having adjacent pixel electrodes being separate from each other by a space of approximately 1 micrometer so as to smoothly proceed the transition between the pixel electrodes, expanding across the space between the pixels (col. 14, lines 9-14).

Further, as shown in Figs. 1B-1C, Park discloses a conventional driving technique involving applying voltages having different polarities to pixels that are adjacent to each other by driving alternating pixel elements with negative and positive voltages to prevent the display from reducing sensitivity and brightness (col. 1, lines 51-64).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the liquid crystal device of Bos with the teaching of Park by applying voltages having different polarities to adjacent pixels so as to improve display characteristics (col. 2, lines 10-12).

#### Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

08/15/2005

ROBERT KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER